# TAXONOMIC REVISION OF *Phrynocephalus arabicus* ANDERSON, 1984 COMPLEX WITH DESCRIPTION OF A NEW SPECIES FROM AHVAZ, SOUTH-WESTERN IRAN

Daniel Melnikov,<sup>1</sup> Ekaterina Melnikova,<sup>1</sup> Roman Nazarov,<sup>2</sup> Mahdi Rajabizadeh,<sup>3,4</sup> Awadh Al-Johany,<sup>5</sup> Zuhair S. Amr,<sup>6</sup> and Natalia B. Ananjeva<sup>1</sup>

Submitted December 18, 2013.

A revision of taxonomic structure of *Phrynocephalus arabicus* Anderson, 1984 complex is presented. *Phrynocephalus nejdensis* Haas, 1957 and *Phrynocephalus macropeltis* Haas, 1957 are considered as valid species, based on the morphological and genetic difference. A new species from south-western Iran, the Ahvaz plains is described. It differs morphologically from all other representatives of the species *Ph. arabicus* complex by body and tail proportions, dorsal coloration, undertail coloration and genetic characters.

Keywords: Squamata; Acrodonta; Agamidae; Phrynocephalus sp. nov.; south-western Iran; Ahvaz plains.

## INTRODUCTION

*Phrynocephalus arabicus* Anderson, 1984 was described by John Anderson based on two specimens collected by James Theodore Bent expedition on the Hadramut plateau, Yemen (he went from Al Mukalla inland in the Hadramaut to Al Qatan where he stayed for a month) in 1893 – 1894 (Fig. 1). Georg Haas studied herpetological collections of John Gasperetti from Arabia (gifted to California Academy of Sciences in 1947) and described *Phrynocephalus nejdensis* Haas, 1957, from the northern Nejd desert, north-western Saudi Arabia and its subspecies *Phrynocephalus nejdensis macropeltis* Haas, 1957, from the Dhahran vicinity in the central part of the coastal eastern Saudi Arabia (Fig. 1). In 1967 Alan E. Leviton and Steven C. Anderson studied same Gasperetti's collections together with new materi-

- <sup>3</sup> Evolutionary Morphology of Vertebrates of Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biodiversity Institute of Science and High Technology and Environmental Sciences, Graduate University of Advanced Technology, Kerman, Iran; e-mail: khosro.rajabizadeh@gmail.com
- <sup>5</sup> King Saud University, College of Science, P. O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; e-mail: ajohany@ksu.edu.sa
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biology of Jordan University of Science & Technology, P. O. Box 3020, Irbid, Jordan; e-mail: amrz@just.edu.jo

als of this collector from the Trucial States, Abu Dhabi (1964), and synonymized *Ph. nejdensis* Haas, 1957 and *Ph. nejdensis macropeltis* Haas, 1957 with *Ph. arabicus* Anderson, 1984. An isolated population of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* from Iran was discovered in 1973 by Douglas Lay (S. C. Anderson, 1993), who collected a single specimen, and that was probably the only known specimen from Iran till now.

During 2008 – 2013 field work in Iran and Arabia we collected a series of all *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* representatives from different parts of its range (Fig. 1). And after visiting the California Academy of Sciences in 2013 and studying the type materials of J. Anderson and G. Haas, together with other extensive materials of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* from Arabia, we were ready to make a taxonomic revision of this group that is presented herein.

**Institutional abbreviations.** ZISP, Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia; ZMMGU, Zoological Museum of the Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia; CAS, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, USA; BMNH, British Museum of Natural History, London, UK; HUJ, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel; MVZ, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Berkeley, California, USA.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Type material studied. Syntypes of *Ph. arabicus* Anderson, 1984: BMNH 1946.8.28.33 (formerly BMNH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, 199034 St. Petersburg, Russia; e-mail: melnikovda@yandex.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, B. Nikitskaya str. 6, 125009 Moscow, Russia; e-mail: r\_nazarov@mail.ru

150

# 12

Fig. 1. Map showing type localities (blue numbers), localities for tissue sampling and specimens studied (red marks), and localities for specimens studied without tissue (gray marks) of Ph. arabicus sensu lato. Type localities: 1, Ph. arabicus, Al Mukalla, Hadramaut plateau, Yemen (route of James Theodore Bent expedition shown by black dots); 2, Ph. nejdensis, Qana, northern Nejd, northern Saudi Arabia; 3, Ph. nejdensis macropeltis, Dhahran, eastern Saudi Arabia; 4, Phrynocephalus sp. nov., Ahvaz, south-western Iran. Tissue samples: 5, Wadi Ram, southern Jordan; 6, Sahnah, 100 km south east of Riyadh, central Saudi Arabia; 7, 50 km from Sur, northern Oman. Specimens without tissues studied: 8, Al Mudawwara, southern Jordan; 9, Riyad al Khabra, central Saudi Arabia (CAS 97585); 10, 35 km N 75 km E Khashm Dalgan, central Saudi Arabia (CAS 113719); 11, Abqaiq, eastern Saudi Arabia (CAS 183068 - 183077; 183076 and Paratypes of Ph. n. macropeltis); 12, UAE, Abu Dhabi, 15 km radius circle (CAS 97814 - 97820); 13, 95 km S, 69 km W Rayda water well, southern Saudi Arabia (CAS 119252); 14, Rayda, southern Saudi Arabia (CAS 119190); 15, Ash Sharqiyah Region, northern Oman (CAS 251008, 251022, 251023).

97.3.11.51) and BMNH 1946.8.28.34 (formerly BMNH 97.3.11.52). Holotype of Phrynocephalus nejdensis Haas, 1957: HUJ 2711 (by photographs from Leviton and Anderson, 1967). Holotype of Ph. neidensis macropeltis Haas, 1957 CAS 84619 and forty three Paratypes: CAS 84262, 84263, 84278 - 84281, 84283 - 84285, 84287 -84290, 84292, 84294, 84295, 84297, 84379-84387, 84421, 84432, 84437, 84479, 84484, 84485, 84502, 84510, 84513, 84536, 84542, 84543, 84545, 84547, 84617-84620 from Dhahran, Abgaig, El Alat and Shimal, eastern coastal Saudi Arabia (Figs. 1 and 2).

Other material. Original material: Ph. arabicus sensu lato from: Ahvaz, Iran, 14 specimens (ZISP 25019 -25023, 26645, 26646, 27088 and ZMMU R-12713/1-12713/6): Wadi Ram and Al-Mudawwara, Jordan, 8 specimens (ZISP 26641 – 26644, 27090 – 27093); 50 km from Sur, Oman, 1 specimen, obtained from the pet trade (ZISP 27089); Sahnah about 100 km south east of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (ZISP TS 2540-2542, tissue samples) (Fig. 1).

Collections of Ph. arabicus sensu lato from the California Academy of Sciences: CAS 84279, 84291, 84322, 84375-84378, Dhahran and Abqaiq that wasn't included in the type series of Ph. nejdensis macropeltis Haas, 1957; CAS 97585, Unayzah-Buraydah-Ar Rass area, Saudi Arabia; CAS 97814, 97816 - 97818, 97820, 97821, 97823 - 97825, Abu Dhabi (15 km radius circle), Trucial States; CAS 97815, Murban — near Santa Fe Drilling Co. Camp, Abu Dhabi, Trucial States; CAS 97819, Habshan, Abu Dhabi, Trucial States; CAS 97822, Abu Dhabi (5 km radius circle), Trucial States; CAS 113719, 35 km N75E Khashm Dalgan on W. edge Nafud Qunayfidhah, Saudi Arabia; CAS 119190, Rayda, Saudi Arabia; CAS 119252, 95 km S, 69 km W Rayda water well, Saudi Arabia; CAS 134137, near Rayda, Rub-Al-Khali, Saudi Arabia; CAS 153846, near Al Jish, on dunes at W. edge of Qatif Oasis, Saudi Arabia; CAS 183068 -183077, soft sand just north of Abgaig, Saudi Arabia; CAS 251008, 251022, 251023, Ash Sharqiyah Region, 21.5 km SW (by road), Oman. In total we have studied 108 specimens of Ph. arabicus sensu lato from its whole distributional range (Fig. 1).

Measurements. The sex of the specimens was determined by the presence of follicles or testes and measurements were taken with calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm. The following values were used: snout-vent length (SVL), measured from the tip of the snout to the cloaca; head width (HW), measured at the point of greatest width; head height (HH), measured at the point of greatest height; head length (HL), measured from behind the tip of the retroarticular process to the tip of the snout; tail length (TL), measured from the posterior lip of the cloaca to the tip of the tail; supralabials (SL), the number of all supralabial scales (not including interlabial); infralabials (IL), the number of all sublabial scales, (not including interlabial); ventral scales (VS), the number of longitudinal ventral scales along midbody from shoulders to cloaca; gular scales (GS), the number of longitudinal gular scales along midbody from the mental scales to gular fold.

Molecular analysis. We obtained the fragment of the cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene (standard DNA barcode region) for the 8 specimens of Ph. arabicus sensu lato. Based on morphological and locality data, tissue from northern Oman (50 km from Sur) were considered as corresponding to Ph. arabicus sensu stricto. Tissues from the southern Jordan (Wadi Ram) were considered as corresponding to Ph. nejdensis. Tissues from central Saudi Arabia (Sahnah, 100 km south east of Riyadh) were considered as corresponding to Ph. n. macropeltis.

Daniel Melnikov et al.





Fig. 2. Comparative photographs of type specimens: *a*, Syntypes of *Ph. arabicus* BMNH 1946.8.28.33, 1946.8.28.34 from Al Mukalla, Hadramaut plateau, Yemen; *b*, holotype of *Ph. nejdensis* HUJ 2711 from Qana, northern Nejd, northern Saudi Arabia (from Anderson and Leviton, 1967); *c*, holotype of *Ph. nejdensis macropeltis* CAS 84619 from Dhahran, eastern Saudi Arabia.

Tissues from south-western Iran corresponds to the undescribed species.

Total DNA was extracted from the finger fixed in 96% ethanol following a salt extraction method (Miller et al., 1988). The fragment of COI gene was amplified with the primers (VUTF and VUTR) and protocol as was described earlier (Melnikov et al., 2012c). The sequencing was carried out on ABI 3130 automated DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using the manufacturer's protocols in both directions. Sequences were aligned using the Clustal W algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994) in BIOEDIT 7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999).

The maximum-likelihood criteria (ML) tree reconstruction and bootstrapping were performed using Treefinder (Jobb, 2008). To choose the best model of molecular evolution we used AIC (Akaike, 1974) criterion in Treefinder. Bootstrap analysis employed 1000 replicates. For the COI the best-fit model chosen was J2 (I) (Jobb, 2008). Genetic distances were creating in MEGA 5.10 (Tamura et al., 2011).

To root the tree following sequences were used: *Xenagama taylori* (Parker, 1935) (GenBank DQ008215) and *Phrynocephalus helioscopus* (Pallas, 1771) (GenBank HQ543966).



Fig. 3. Comparative photographs of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* from different localities: *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov.: *a*, Iran, Ahvaz, male *in situ*; *b*, Iran, Ahvaz, female *in situ*; *Ph. nejdensis*: *c*, Jordan, Wadi Ram, male *in situ*; *d*, Jordan, Wadi Ram, female *in situ*; *Ph. arabicus*: *f*, Oman, Sur, female in captivity; *Ph. macropeltis*: *g*, Saudi Arabia, Sahnah about 100 km south east of Riyadh, female in captivity. Scales vary from part to part.

# RESULTS

**Morphological analysis.** Morphological analysis of *Ph. arabicus* complex type specimens and specimens series from different localities, together with observations of living animals in the wild and in captivity, showed that

they represent at least four well distinguished taxa. They are: *Ph. arabicus sensu stricto* from the southern Arabia (Yemen, Oman, southern Saudi Arabia), *Ph. nejdensis* from the north-western Arabia (southern Jordan, northern and central Saudi Arabia), *Ph. macropeltis* from the eastern coastal Arabia (eastern Saudi Arabia, UAE), and the





Fig. 4. Comparative photographs of living coloration and body proportions in: *a*, dorsal and ventral view of *Ph. nejdensis* subadult male (Jordan, Wadi Ram, from the left) and dorsal and ventral view of *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. adult male (Ahvaz, Iran, from the right); *b*, dorsal view of *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. adult female (Iran, Ahvaz, from the top) and *Ph. nejdensis* subadult female (Jordan, Wadi Ram, from below); *c*, *Ph. arabicus* adult female (Oman, 50 km from Sur, from the left) and *Ph. nejdensis* subadult female (Jordan, Wadi Ram, from the right); *d*, *Ph. arabicus* adult female (Oman, 50 km from Sur, from the top) and *Ph. nejdensis* subadult female (Jordan, Wadi Ram, from below).

new species *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov., represented by a isolated population in the north-western Iran.

a

Ph. arabicus sensu stricto is characterized well by the very contrasting coloration of the upper side of the body (Figs. 3e and 4d). Background is bluish gray with bright dark orange-red pattern on the head, two bright orange stripes on the neck, two longitudinal rows of six bright orange (salmon) patches on the back, and dark orange-red on the sides of the body, joined to form a transverse bright pattern with clear middorsal line, similar smaller patches are on the tail, forming transverse pattern (Fig. 3e). This pattern was mentioned in the J. Arnold original description, but due to preservation is not present in the type specimens now (Fig. 2a). But the main character of Ph. arabicus sensu stricto is the unique tail coloration, as this species has white coloration of the undertail and only the tail tip (last quarter) has very small black bands. In the type specimens two and three small bands on the tail tip are present (Fig. 2a) and in the specimens from Oman only two small black bands (Figs. 4c and 5c).

Probably in alerted animals the whole last quarter of the tail becomes totally black. This species is characterized also by pointed snout and relatively long tail (Table 1).

Ph. nejdensis is also characterized by special coloration of the dorsal side of the body and undertail. This species does not have bright color marks on the dorsal side. General coloration is in one color tone, with contrasting yellow-brown or yellow-reddish-orange with dark-brownish transverse bands (Fig. 3c, d and 4a - c). Upper side of the head has the same pattern and color as body. White dots on the middorsal line can be distinguished, with some individuals appearing as a longitudinal row along the vertebrae. This species is also well characterized by many black transverse tail bands (up to seven), that cover about half of the undertail in the calm condition of the animal (Fig. 4c). These bands sometimes are not well distinguished in alerted individuals, especially in males, as whole distal half of the tail became black and bands became just slightly visible, but the additional bands in the proximal half of the tail appear (Fig. 5b). The black tail coloration of the alerted animal is recognizable even on the upper side of the tail, while in calm condition it is whitish. In the Holotype there are two additional bands on the proximal half of the tail and a to-tally black distal half (Fig. 2b). This is the biggest and most short-tailed species — the tail almost the same length as body even in males (Table 1).

Ph. macropeltis is characterized by intermediate morphological characters between Ph. arabicus sensu stricto and Ph. nejdensis, which needs further investigation, due to probable hybridization between these species. The diagnostic characters proposed by G. Haas (1957) for this subspecies were similar to those of Ph. arabicus sensu stricto. For Ph. nejdensis he stated that it differs from Ph. arabicus in: small size of gular scales, smaller ventrals and proximal subcaudals, higher number of upper and lower labials, and flatness of the dorsal head scales. But Ph. nejdensis macropeltis differs from Ph. neidensis neidensis in some opposite characters: larger ventral scales, head scales more bulging, and some of the pectoral scales keeled (Haas, 1957). In other words, G. Haas mixed the difference between his species Ph. nejdensis and Ph. arabicus by describing the subspecies Ph. n. macropeltis which differs from Ph. nejdensis

**TABLE 1.** Comparative Data on Body Length and Body Length to Tail Length Ratio in Studied Taxa (Adults, Except *Ph. arabicus* Syntypes)

	L	$L/L_{\rm cd}$
	min(med)max	
	Ph. arabicus	
Syntypes		
juveniles $(n = 2)$	36	0.88(0.89)0.90
Oman		
male $(n = 1)$	45	0.88
females $(n = 2)$	50(49.5)53	0.84(0.86)0.88
	Ph. nejdensis	
Holotype*		
male	54	0.87
Jordan		
males $(n = 3)$	53(56)58	0.88(0.91)0.95
females $(n = 3)$	48(50)52	0.95(0.96)0.97
	Ph. macropeltis	
Type series		
males $(n = 5)$	54(56)59	0.89(0.94)0.98
females $(n = 5)$	45(48.6)52	0.88(0.95)0.98
Р	<i>hrynocephalus</i> sp. no	ov.
Type series		
males $(n = 6)$	50(51.3)54	0.79(0.82)0.84
females $(n = 8)$	42(46.4)50	0.81(0.88)0.94

\* from Haas (1957).

in opposite characters which distinguish Ph. nejdensis from Ph. arabicus. Probably because of that, Leviton and Anderson (1967) showed that differences of Ph. neidensis and Ph. n. macropeltis from Ph. arabicus was "illusory," and that all meristic characters are overlapping in the populations they studied. As for diagnostic characters proposed by us, Haas (1957) mentioned for Ph. nejdensis --- "posterior half of the tail blackish, with faint darker cross-bands" (Holotype also have additional bands in the proximal half of the tail (Fig. 2b)), but for Ph. n. macropeltis — "last third of the tail is black below" (Fig. 2c). All studied specimens from the coastal eastern Arabia (eastern Saudi Arabia and UAE) are characterized by black last third of the tail and no additional cross bands on the rest of the undertail and this is useful taxonomic character for them. To access the living dorsal coloration of Ph. n. macropeltis more material is needed, but it seems similar to those of *Ph. nejdensis* (Fig. 3g). Body and tail proportions also similar to *Ph. neidensis* (Table 1).

Phrynocephalus sp. nov. from Iran is characterized by unique coloration of the dorsal side of the body, almost without pattern. General color is gravish or lightbrownish with black, white, orange and red scales, that makes coloration uniform with small irregular black, white and orange dots (Figs. 3a, b and 4a, b). There are some bright dark red patches similar to Ph. arabicus sensu stricto, that on the sides of the body are with black edging, both in males and in females (Figs. 3a, b and 4a, b). Upper side of the head is the same pattern and color as body. Undertail coloration is white without any pattern in calm condition (Fig. 3a), but distal half of the tail is black and proximal half uniformly white in alerted condition (Figs. 4a, 5a). The black tail coloration of alerted animal is recognized even on the upper side of the tail, while in calm condition its same color as body. This is the smallest and most long-tailed species — the tail always longer than body even in females (Table 1).

**Molecular analysis.** The aligned sequences were 645 base pair (bp) from 9 specimens of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* and represent six haplotypes. Sequences of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* formed four groups with high bootstrap support: *Ph. arabicus sensu stricto* from Oman, *Ph. nejdensis* from Jordan, *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. from Iran and *Ph. macropeltis* from Saudi Arabia (Fig. 6).

*Ph. arabicus sensu stricto* is basal to all other species in this group and differs from them by 5.5 - 6.0% in the uncorrected pairwise distance (Table 2). *Ph. nejdensis* is sister taxa to the group of *Ph. macropeltis* and *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. from Iran. The level of genetic distance between *Ph. nejdensis* and *Ph. macropeltis* is 4.8% and between *Ph. nejdensis* and *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. is 5.3%. *Ph. macropeltis* and *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. are



Fig. 5. Comparison of undertail coloration in series of: *a*, *Phrynocephalus* sp. nov. type series; *b*, *Ph. nejdensis* from Wadi Ram and Al Mudawwa-ra, southern Jordan; *c*, *Ph. arabicus sensu stricto* from Ash Sharqiyah, northern Oman.

grouped together, genetic difference between them 2.7% in the uncorrected pairwise distance. *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* group differ from outgroup of *Ph. helioscopus* by 16.2 - 17.4% in the uncorrected pairwise distance.

Based on the morphological and molecular differences of the Iranian *Ph. arabicus sensu lato*, and on its geographical isolation from the other parts of the species complex range by the Mesopotamian wetland plains of Euphrates, Tigris, Karun, and Karkheh rivers, we herein describe them as a new species.

# Phrynocephalus ahvazicus sp. nov.

Holotype. ZISP 27131 (formerly R-12713/2), adult male (Fig. 7).

**Paratypes.** ZISP 25019 – 25023, ZISP 27088, ICSTZM6H 1291, 1292 (formerly ZISP 26645, 26646) and ZMMU R-12713/1, R-12713/3 – 12713/6 with the same data as Holotype.

Type locality. Ahvaz, Khuzestan province, Iran.



Fig. 6. Phylogenetic relationships of *Ph. arabicus sensu lato* representatives based on mtDNA sequences (COI) by the maximum-likelihood analysis.

**Diagnosis.** A small and slender *Phrynocephalus* species with tail longer than body in both sexes, ground dorsal coloration uniform, almost without pattern; with dark

Daniel Melnikov et al.

	<i>Ph. arabicus</i> sensu stricto Oman; ZISP 27089	<i>Ph. macropeltis</i> Saudi Arabia, UAE; ZISP TS 2540 – 2542	<i>Phrynocephalus</i> sp. nov. Iran; ZISP TS 2289 – 2292
<i>Ph. macropeltis</i> Saudi Arabia; ZISP TS 2540 – 2542	5.9		
<i>Phrynocephalus</i> sp. nov. Iran; ZISP TS 2289 – 2292	6.0	2.7	
<i>Ph. nejdensis</i> Jordan; ZISP TS 2548, 2548a	5.5	4.8	5.3

TABLE 2. The Level of Genetic Divergence (p-distance, percentage) in the COI Among Studied Samples of Ph. arabicus sensu lato

red patches on body sides; head coloration same as body, without any patches; with white undertail coloration without bands and without black tail tip (undisturbed animal) and black distal half and no bands in white proximal half (alerted).

**Description of the holotype.** ZISP 27131 (formerly R-12713/2). Left forth limb without third finger. Small cut at the ventral side of the left thigh. Skin on the left kneel slightly damaged, black colored. Hemipenises are turned inside out.

**Measurements** (mm): SVL 51, TL 64, HH 8.28, HW 12.6, HL 12.78, length of left forelimb 27, length of left hind limb 45 (including toe length).

Description. Slender body. Head and body depressed. Nostril directed almost forward, pierced in the central upper part of small nasal scales. Nasal scales are visible from above, lower nasal is large, about two times larger than surrounding scales, upper nasal about same size as surrounding scales. Nasals separated from the first canthal scale by two scales. There are no obvious enlarged scales on the head except nasals, supraorbitals, occipital and canthal scales. Interorbital scales about same size as parietal, temporal and occipital scales. Occipital about two-three times larger than surrounding scales. Supraorbitals about same size as interorbitals. There are 16 upper and 13 lower labial scales. There is no external ear. Gular fold bordered by two transverse lines of small granules. Dorsal scales are smooth, heterogeneous, almost same size as lateral scales. Lateral body scales are small and smooth. There are 91 scales rows around midbody, 95 dorsal scales along the vertebrae and 65 ventral scales along the belly between the anterior border of the shoulders and cloaca. No precloacal or femoral pores. Dorsal body scales are smooth, not mucronate. Gular scales are smooth, slightly mucronate, ventral scales smooth, about four times larger than dorsal body scales, some with little keel in the hind half, hardly mucronate. Scales of the upper side of the fore limb are smooth, about the same size as dorsal body scales. Scales of the upper side of the hind limb are smooth, about two-three times larger than dorsal body scales. Hind limb long, toes reaching nasals line when adpressed. The fourth toe is longest, reaching 10 mm. Lamellae 23 under the left fourth finger, 33 lamellae under the left fourth toe. Toes and fingers with fringes. Forelimb is relatively short, digits reaching femoral articulation when adpressed. In the manus fourth finger is the longest, reaching 6 mm. Tail little depressed at its base. Dorsal tail scales are smooth, mucronate, about same size as dorsal body scales. Ventral tail scales are smooth at it base, but than became mucronate and keeled, together with lateral tail scales. Tail scales not arranged in whorls.

Coloration after ethanol preservation. Coloration of the type specimens are different due to different ethanol percentage used for preservation. ZISP specimens except the holotype are gravish, ZMMU specimens colored similar to original living coloration. Upper parts of the body formed by black, white, orange and red scales, make coloration uniform with small irregular black, white and orange dots, almost without pattern. Only some dark slightly visible patches can be recognized on the dorsal body side. Sides are more gravish with less orange (sandy) color. Head with more yellow-orange (sandy) color. Tail from above is the same color as dorsal body side, with black distal half. Ventral body side coloration is off-white, breast with irregular dark patches. Tail is black in the distal half. Throat is off-white, with dirty gray dots as on breast.

**Coloration in life.** General color is grayish or light-brownish with black, white, orange and red scales, that makes coloration uniform with small irregular black, white and orange dots. There are some bright dark red patches, that appear on the sides of the body with black edging, both in males and in females. Upper side of the head is the same pattern and color as body. Undertail coloration is white without any pattern in calm condition, but distal half of the tail is black and proximal half uniformly white in alerted condition. The black tail coloration of alerted animal is recognized even on the upper side of the tail, while in calm condition is the same color as body.

**Etymology.** Species named by the place of origin — the Ahvaz plains in Khuzestan province in southwestern Iran.





**Distribution.** Species known from the type locality. **Morphological comparisons.** *Ph. ahvazicus* sp. nov. is distinguished from the other representatives of the *Ph. arabicus* complex by the following characters: smallest body size; longest tail both in males and in females; uniform coloration of dorsal parts without patches on

The new species differs from *Ph. arabicus sensu stricto* in undertail coloration — distal half is totally black in alerted *Ph. ahvazicus* sp. nov. vs. distal quarter totally black or two-three small black cross bars in distal quarter in *Ph. arabicus sensu stricto*.

The new species differs from *Ph. nejdensis* in undertail coloration — distal half is uniformly black and proximal is white without cross bands in alerted *Ph. ahvazicus* sp. nov. vs. more than half of the tail is blackish in alerted *Ph. nejdensis* with black cross bands both in distal (blackish) and proximal (white) parts.

The new species differs from *Ph. macropeltis* in undertail coloration — distal half is black in alerted *Ph. ahvazicus* sp. nov. vs. distal third is black or with two-three cross bands in alerted *Ph. macropeltis*.

### DISCUSSION

Taxonomic characters used for species delineation in Phrynocephalus Kaup, 1825 can be unequal, especially with attempts to use quantitative characters that traditionally works well in the groups where scale arrangements can be distinguished and equal scales can be counted and compared in different taxa. But in Phrynocephalus, with small granules covering whole body, including head, only a few characters can be distinguished, for example the number of labials, often also without success. For example, very different morphological species as the huge orange colored with massive black vertebral stripe of Phrynocephalus przewalskii Strauch, 1876 and is small gray with red axillar patches and white tail stripe of Phrynocephalus frontalis Strauch, 1876 (comparative photographs in Melnikov and Ananjeva, 2012) can not be delineated using such approach (Gozdzik et Fu, 2009).

The most distinguishable morphological characters of *Phrynocephalus* are the bright signaling marks that are used for social interactions between individuals (Dunayev 1996) and probably for species ecological isolation. For example, the bright patches in the underarm area (axillar patch) in representatives of *Phrynocephalus versicolor* Strauch, 1876 complex, bright patches on the dorsal side of the body like double marks in the neck area in *Ph. helioscopus* complex, or like double marks in the middle of the body in *Ph. raddei* Boettger, 1888 and *Ph. interscapularis* Lichtenstein, 1856 complexes. But the most important and most successfully used character for species identification in *Phrynocephalus* is undertail coloration. This character can be very different in different species or even different representatives of the species complexes (Melnikov et al., 2008; 2009a, 2009b; 2012a). The only problem is the disappearing or even changing of the original bright coloration after specimen preservation. But some tail coloration characters, like white or black tail tip coloration or black cross bands, can be successfully used even for identification of old specimens. For example our study of Ph. helioscopus complex showed that nominative subspecies Ph. h. helioscopus can be easily distinguished from all others complex representatives by the presence of jet-black tail tip (Melnikov et al., 2008; 2009a). Another example is Ph. axillaris and Ph. cf. hispidus from the Ph. versicolor sensu lato complex, that can be distinguished by white last third or white tip of the tail, respectively (Melnikov et al., 2009b). This study provides another example of such difference in bright color marks between closely related species. It shows the differences between species both in the bright dorsal color marks and in the undertail black bands.

Many new species recently were described from Arabia, despite the fact that it was well studied in the past. For example, in result of taxonomic revision of Hemidactylus Oken, 1817 eight new species were described only from Oman (Carranza et Arnold, 2012). Three new Pseudotrapelus Fitzinger, 1843 species and three new Ptyodactylus Fitzinger, 1826 species were described by us from Jordan and Oman (Melnikov et. al. 2012b; Melnikov et. Pierson 2012; Melnikov et. al. 2013a; Melnikov et. al. 2013c; Nazarov et al., 2013). Many more new descriptions and reviews are now in preparation. As for toad-headed agamas, Ph. ahvazicus sp. nov. is the second new Phrynocephalus species described recently from Iran, Ph. ananjevae Melnikov, Melnikova, Nazarov et Rajabizadeh, 2013 was described from the Zagros mountains (Melnikov et al., 2013b). New descriptions and phylogenetic reconstructions lead to better understanding of the origin and biogeography of Arabian herpetofauna. For example, south Arabian origin was shown for Stenodactylus Fitzinger, 1826 (Metallinou, 2012) and in this report we stated that for Ph. arabicus species complex basal lineage is also represented by south Arabian Ph. arabicus sensu stricto. To clarify relationships within the Ph. arabicus complex more investigations are needed especially in Saudi Arabia.

Acknowledgments. We are greatly indebted to our colleagues from ZISP Department of Herpetology: Nikolai Orlov, Igor Danilov, Larissa Iogansen, Konstantin Milto, Andrei Barabanov and from ZISP Laboratory of Molecular Systematics: Natalia Abramson, Semyen Bordov, Tatyana Petrova, and especially Alexei Kostygov, for permanent help and support.

Special thanks to CAS Herpetology Department: Robert C. Drewes, David C. Blackburn and Lauren Scheinberg and

Charlotte Pfeiffer (Research Program Coordinator, Lakeside Foundation) and to MVZ Herpetology Department: Theodore J. Papenfuss, Carol L. Spencer and Jimmy A. McGuire for their welcome and permanent help and support during DM CAS visit.

Special thanks also to Patrick D. Campbell (Senior Curator of Reptiles, Department of Life Sciences, Darwin Centre, Natural History Museum) and to Tracy Heath (Loans Officer) for sending *Phrynocephalus* type specimens from BMNH to CAS and to Harold F. L. De Lisle (Moorpark college, USA) for the manuscript correcting.

The study was partially supported by grants of Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Projects RFFI-10-04-90784, RFFI-12-04-00057-a), Scientific School Support Program (Project No. NSh-2990.2014.4) and Lakeside Foundation (fund number 4-6167-22-0314). Finally, this work have been impossible without the great help of Jens V. Vindum (CAS Herpetology).

### REFERENCES

- Anderson J. (1894), "On two new species of agamoid lizards from the Hadramut, southeastern Arabia," Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. Ser. 6, 14, 376 — 378.
- Anderson S. C. (1999), The Lizards of Iran. Contribution to Herpetology. Vol. 15, SSAR, Oxford, Ohio.
- Carranza S. and Arnold E. N. (2012), "A review of the geckos of the genus *Hemidactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Oman based on morphology, mitochondrial and nuclear data, with descriptions of eight new species," *Zootaxa*, 3378, 1 – 95.
- **Gozdzik A. and Fu J.** (2009), "Are toad-headed lizards *Phry-nocephalus przewalskii* and *P. frontalis* (family Agamidae) the same species? Defining species boundaries with morphological and molecular data," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, **16**(2), 107 118.
- Dunayev E. A. (1996), "On the possible use of the ethological features in the taxonomy and phylogeny of toad agamas *Phrynocephalus* (Reptilia, Agamidae)," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, 3(1), 32 – 38.
- Haas G. (1957), "Some amphibians and reptiles from Arabia," Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., 29(3), 47 – 86.
- Leviton A. E. and Anderson S. C. (1967), "Survey of the reptiles of the Sheikhdom of Abu Dhabi, Arabian Peninsula. Part II. Systematic account of the collection of reptiles made in the Sheikhdom of Abu Dhabi by John Gasperetti," *Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci.*, 35(9), 157 – 192.
- Melnikov D. A., Ananjeva N. B., Agasyan A. L., and Rajabizadeh M. (2008), "History of study and taxonomical status of Persian toad-headed agama *Phrynocephalus persicus*

De Filippi, 1863 and Horvath's sun-watcher toad-headed agama *Phrynocephalus helioscopus horváthi* Méhely, 1894," in: *The Problems of Herpetology. Proc. of the Third Herpetol. Conf. of A. M. Nikolsky Herpetol. Soc. October* 9–13, 2006. Pushchino, Russia, St. Petersburg, pp. 286–297 [in Russian with English summary].

- Melnikov D., Ananjeva N. B., Rajabizadeh M., and Milto K. (2009a), "On Systematics and Phylogeography of Sun Watcher Toad Agamas Phrynocephalus helioscopus (Pallas, 1771)," in: De Agamis. First International Symposium on Agamid Lizards. February 22 – 24, 2008. Bonn, Germany. Bonner Zool. Beitr., 56(4), 303.
- Melnikov D. A., Fu J., and Ananjeva N. B. (2009b), "On the systematics, phylogeography and hybridization of toad agamas *Ph. guttatus-versicolor* complex," in: *The Sino-Russian Seminar "Study and Conservation of Eurasian Amphibians* and Reptiles: Results and Plans of cooperation." 29 July – 3 August, 2009, St. Petersburg, pp. 18 – 19.
- Melnikov D. and Ananjeva D. (2010), "Molecular studies of Phrynocephalus. Review," in: Abstrs. of the Second Int. Symp. on Agamid lizards. Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, August 16 – 20, 2010. St. Petersburg. Curr. Studies Herpetol., 10(3/4), 149.
- Melnikov D., Guo X., Fu J., Wang Y., Orlov N., Nazarov R., and Ananjeva N. (2012a), "Six years of sino-russian collaboration in studying asian desert lizards," in: Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Asian Herpetol. Conf. 2012 Ann. Meeting of China Herpetol. Soc. June 2 – 4, 2012. Chengdu, China, A047.
- Melnikov D., Nazarov R., Ananjeva N. B., and Disi A. (2012b), "A new species of *Pseudotrapelus* (Agamidae, Sauria) from Aqaba, southern Jordan," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, **19**(2), 143 154.
- Melnikov D. and Pierson T. (2012c), "A new species of *Pseudotrapelus* (Agamidae, Sauria) from Dhofar, Oman," *Curr. Studies Herpetol.*, 12(3/4), 143 151.
- Melnikov D., Ananjeva N. B., and Papenfuss T. J. (2013a), "A new species of *Pseudotrapelus* (Agamidae, Sauria) from Nizwa, Oman," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, 20(1), 79 – 84.
- Melnikov D., Melnikova E., Nazarov R., and Rajabizadeh M. (2013b), "Taxonomic revision of *Phrynocephalus persicus* De Filippi, 1863 complex with description of a new species from Zagros, southern Iran," *Curr. Studies Herpetol.*, **13**(1/2), 34 – 46.
- Melnikov D., Melnikova E., Bondarenko D., and Amr Z. (2013c), "Taxonomy and distribution of *Pseudotrapelus* in Jordan," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, **20**(3), 213 216.
- Nazarov R., Melnikov D., and Melnikova E. (2013), "Three new species of *Ptyodactylus* (Reptilia; Squamata; Phyllodactylidae) from the Middle East," *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, 20(2), 147 – 162.